UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/808,827	02/28/1997	WALTER HENRY GUNZBURG	1406/194	6837
7590 09/26/2007 Mr. Arles A. Taylor, Jr. Jenkins, Wilson & Taylor, P.A. 3100 Tower Boulevard University Tower, Suite 1400			EXAMINER	
			BRUSCA, JOHN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Durham, NC 27707		1631		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/808,827	GUNZBURG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John S. Brusca	1631			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l i	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,7,9-26,28,29 and 31-78</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
,	Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>9,10,13-15,18,19,36,37,39-41,44,45,47-49,52,53,59,60,62-64,67,68,70-72,75 and 76</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1,5,7,11,12,16,17,20-26,28,29,31-35,38,42,43,46,50,51,54-58,61,65,66,69,73,74,77 and 78.

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

1. Following the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reversing all rejections mailed 31 October 2006, prosecution is reopened to raise new grounds of rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 08/808,827

Art Unit: 1631

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 1, 5, 16, 17, 22, 28, 29, 31, and 32 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,177,681. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claimed vectors and vector particles are obvious over the producer cell line of claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,177,681 that produces the instant claimed vectors and vector particles. The instant claims 17 and 28 are drawn to a producer cell line similar to that claimed in claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,177,681.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 11, 12, and 22 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,730,511. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,730,511 is drawn to species of the instant claims with regard to the content of the coding sequence.
- 5. Claims 1, 5, 11, 17, 20-25, 28, and 29 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,022,319. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,022,319 have a species of coding sequence relative to the instant claims.
- 6. Claims 1, 5, 7, 20-22, 26, 28, 29, 31-35, 38, 42, 43, 46, 50, 51, 54-58, 61, 65, 66, 69, 73, 74, 77, and 78 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as

Application/Control Number: 08/808,827

Art Unit: 1631

being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 8-13, 16-18, 20, 21, and 24-28 of U.S. Patent No. 7,074, 398 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,074,398 are drawn to a species of coding region relative to the instant claims and the instant products are obvious over the method of making the products in the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,074,398.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9, 10, 13-15, 18, 19, 36, 37, 39-41, 44, 45, 47-49, 52, 53, 59, 60, 62-64, 67, 68, 70-72, 75, and 76 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Brusca whose telephone number is 571 272-0714. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjorie A. Moran can be reached on 571-272-0720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 08/808,827

Art Unit: 1631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John S. Brusca/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631

Spe, AU 1631

jsb

// JOHN LEGUYADER
/ DIRECTOR
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600